

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>ES-1</b>
A. Project Description.....	ES-1
B. Project History.....	ES-3
C. Purpose of this Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement and Reevaluation .....	ES-5
D. Analysis Framework .....	ES-6
E. Traffic.....	ES-7
F. Air Quality .....	ES-7
G. Noise .....	ES-8
H. Socioeconomics .....	ES-8
I. Land Use.....	ES-8
J. Contaminated Properties and Hazardous Materials .....	ES-9
K. Natural Resources .....	ES-9
L. Cultural Resources.....	ES-10
M. Indirect Effects .....	ES-10
N. Cumulative Impacts .....	ES-11
O. Visual Impacts.....	ES-11
P. Energy Impacts .....	ES-12
Q. Construction Impacts .....	ES-12
R. Relationship Between Local Short-Term Uses of the Environment and the Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity .....	ES-12
S. Irreversible and Irretrievable Resource Commitments.....	ES-12
T. Unresolved Issues and Areas of Controversy.....	ES-12
U. Federal and State Actions Required.....	ES-12
<b>CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 Background.....	1-1
1.1.1 Project History .....	1-1
1.1.2 Delphi Panel.....	1-3
1.1.3 Court Order .....	1-5
1.2 Requirements Met by this SEIS.....	1-5
1.2.1 Additional Analysis Required by the Court Order .....	1-6
1.2.2 NEPA Requirements.....	1-6
1.2.3 Reevaluation of the 2004 FEIS .....	1-6
1.3 Approach to Preparing the Draft SEIS .....	1-7
1.3.1 Analysis Framework .....	1-7
1.3.2 Reevaluation of the 2004 FEIS .....	1-12
<b>CHAPTER 2 – PURPOSE AND NEED FOR THE PROJECT.....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 Purpose.....	2-1
2.2 Need.....	2-1
2.2.1 Traffic Flow and Congestion .....	2-1
2.2.2 Safety Issues/Crash Data.....	2-3
2.2.3 Deteriorating Infrastructure .....	2-4

<b>CHAPTER 3 – ALTERNATIVES .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1 Introduction.....	3-1
3.2 Description of the Alternatives Evaluated in this DSEIS .....	3-1
3.2.1 No Build Alternative.....	3-1
3.2.2 2005 Selected Alternative .....	3-2
3.3 Summary of Alternatives Considered and Rejected .....	3-7
3.3.1 Alternatives Screening.....	3-7
3.3.2 Identification of a Reasonable Range of Alternatives .....	3-9
3.3.3 Rationale for the Selected Alternative .....	3-10
<b>CHAPTER 4 – TRAFFIC .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1 Introduction.....	4-1
4.1.1 Traffic Analysis Terminology and Criteria.....	4-1
4.2 Summary of 2004 FEIS Analysis and Record of Decision Commitments .....	4-3
4.2.1 Traffic Data Collection and Traffic Model.....	4-3
4.2.2 Traffic Analysis Results.....	4-3
4.2.3 Record of Decision Commitments/Mitigation.....	4-4
4.3 Summary of 2005 Traffic Sensitivity Analysis .....	4-6
4.4 Methodology .....	4-7
4.4.1 Traffic Data Collection .....	4-7
4.4.2 Analysis Scenarios .....	4-9
4.4.3 Updated Design Information.....	4-11
4.4.4 New Hampshire Statewide Model .....	4-11
4.4.5 Future No Build Transportation Projects .....	4-12
4.4.6 Traffic Volume Assignments and Capacity Analysis.....	4-14
4.4.7 Vehicle Miles Traveled and Vehicle Hours Traveled.....	4-15
4.5 Existing Conditions.....	4-15
4.5.1 Traffic Volumes .....	4-15
4.5.2 Capacity Analysis .....	4-16
4.6 Impacts.....	4-18
4.6.1 Scenario 1.....	4-18
4.6.2 Scenario 2.....	4-22
4.6.3 Comparison between Scenario 1 and Scenario 2.....	4-28
4.6.4 Tolling Sensitivity Analysis.....	4-29
4.7 Conclusions.....	4-32
<b>CHAPTER 5 – AIR QUALITY .....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1 Introduction.....	5-1
5.1.1 National Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	5-1
5.1.2 Mobile Source Air Toxics.....	5-3
5.1.3 Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Climate Change .....	5-4
5.2 Summary of 2004 FEIS Analysis and Record of Decision Commitments .....	5-5
5.2.1 Microscale Carbon Monoxide Analysis.....	5-5
5.2.2 Transportation Conformity .....	5-5
5.2.3 Record of Decision Commitments/Mitigation.....	5-6
5.3 Methodology .....	5-6

5.3.1 Updated Traffic Data .....	5-6
5.3.2 Updated Emissions Model .....	5-6
5.3.3 Microscale Carbon Monoxide Analysis.....	5-7
5.3.4 Regional Emissions Sensitivity Analysis.....	5-7
5.3.5 Mobile Source Air Toxics Analysis.....	5-8
5.3.6 Tolling Sensitivity Analysis.....	5-8
5.3.7 Greenhouse Gas Analysis .....	5-9
5.4 Existing Conditions.....	5-10
5.5 Impacts.....	5-11
5.5.1 Scenario 1 (Delphi Panel Blended Average Allocation) .....	5-11
5.5.2 Scenario 2 (Current State Projections).....	5-14
5.5.3 Tolling Sensitivity Analysis.....	5-18
5.6 Mitigation.....	5-19
5.7 Conclusions.....	5-19
<b>CHAPTER 6 – NOISE.....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
6.1 Introduction.....	6-1
6.1.1 Characteristics of Noise .....	6-1
6.1.2 Federal and State Highway Noise Policy.....	6-2
6.2 Summary of 2004 FEIS Analysis and Record of Decision Commitments .....	6-4
6.3 Methodology .....	6-5
6.3.1 I-93 Mainline .....	6-5
6.3.2 Secondary Roads.....	6-7
6.3.3 Tolling Sensitivity Analysis.....	6-7
6.4 Impacts and Mitigation .....	6-8
6.4.1 I-93 Mainline .....	6-8
6.4.2 Secondary Roads.....	6-11
6.4.3 Tolling Sensitivity Analysis.....	6-12
6.5 Conclusions.....	6-13
<b>CHAPTER 7 – SOCIOECONOMICS.....</b>	<b>7-1</b>
7.1 Introduction.....	7-1
7.2 Summary of 2004 FEIS Analysis and Record of Decision Commitments .....	7-1
7.2.1 Record of Decision Commitments/Mitigation.....	7-1
7.3 Methodology .....	7-2
7.4 Existing Conditions.....	7-3
7.4.1 Population .....	7-3
7.4.2 Housing.....	7-3
7.4.3 Employment.....	7-4
7.4.4 Wages.....	7-4
7.5 Impacts.....	7-4
7.6 Mitigation.....	7-5
7.7 Conclusions.....	7-5
<b>CHAPTER 8 – LAND USE.....</b>	<b>8-1</b>
8.1 Introduction.....	8-1

8.2	Summary of 2004 FEIS Analysis and Record of Decision Commitments .....	8-1
8.2.1	Land Use and Zoning.....	8-1
8.2.2	Farmlands.....	8-1
8.3	Methodology .....	8-2
8.4	Existing Conditions.....	8-2
8.4.1	Land Use Plans and Policies .....	8-2
8.4.2	Land Use .....	8-4
8.4.3	Zoning .....	8-9
8.4.4	Farmlands.....	8-11
8.5	Impacts.....	8-11
8.6	Mitigation.....	8-11
8.7	Conclusions.....	8-11
 <b>CHAPTER 9 – CONTAMINATED PROPERTIES AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS . 9-1</b>		
9.1	Introduction.....	9-1
9.2	Summary of 2004 FEIS Analysis and Record of Decision Commitments .....	9-1
9.2.1	Record of Decision Commitments/Mitigation.....	9-3
9.3	Methodology .....	9-3
9.4	Impacts.....	9-4
9.5	Mitigation.....	9-7
9.6	Conclusions.....	9-7
 <b>CHAPTER 10 – NATURAL RESOURCES..... 10-1</b>		
10.1	Introduction.....	10-1
10.1.1	Water Resources .....	10-1
10.1.2	Floodplains.....	10-2
10.1.3	Wetland Resources.....	10-2
10.1.4	Wildlife Resources.....	10-3
10.2	Summary of 2004 FEIS Analysis and Record of Decision Commitments.....	10-4
10.2.1	Water Resources .....	10-4
10.2.2	Floodplains.....	10-6
10.2.3	Wetland Resources.....	10-6
10.2.4	Wildlife Resources.....	10-7
10.2.5	Permit Conditions/Mitigation .....	10-8
10.3	Methodology .....	10-12
10.3.1	Water Resources .....	10-12
10.3.2	Floodplains.....	10-12
10.3.3	Wetland Resources.....	10-13
10.3.4	Wildlife Resources.....	10-13
10.4	Existing Conditions.....	10-14
10.4.1	Water Resources .....	10-14
10.4.2	Floodplains.....	10-18
10.4.3	Wetland Resources.....	10-19
10.4.4	Wildlife Resources.....	10-19
10.5	Impacts.....	10-19
10.5.1	Water Resources .....	10-19

10.5.2 Floodplains.....	10-19
10.5.3 Wetland Resources.....	10-20
10.5.4 Wildlife Resources.....	10-20
10.6 Mitigation.....	10-21
10.6.1 Water Resources .....	10-21
10.6.2 Floodplains.....	10-22
10.6.3 Wetland Resources.....	10-23
10.6.4 Wildlife Resources.....	10-24
10.7 Conclusions.....	10-24
10.7.1 Water Resources .....	10-24
10.7.2 Floodplains.....	10-24
10.7.3 Wetland Resources.....	10-25
10.7.4 Wildlife Resources.....	10-25
<b>CHAPTER 11 – CULTURAL RESOURCES .....</b>	<b>11-1</b>
11.1 Introduction.....	11-1
11.2 Summary of 2004 FEIS Analysis and Record of Decision Commitments .....	11-2
11.2.1 Archaeological Resources.....	11-2
11.2.2 Historic Architectural Resources .....	11-2
11.3 Methodology .....	11-2
11.4 Existing Conditions.....	11-3
11.5 Impacts and Mitigation .....	11-3
11.5.1 Archaeological Resources.....	11-3
11.5.2 Historic Architectural Resources .....	11-3
11.6 Conclusions.....	11-5
<b>CHAPTER 12 – INDIRECT EFFECTS .....</b>	<b>12-1</b>
12.1 Introduction.....	12-1
12.2 Summary of 2004 FEIS Analysis and Record of Decision Commitments .....	12-1
12.2.1 Indirect Land Use Impacts .....	12-1
12.2.2 Indirect Water Resources Impacts .....	12-2
12.2.3 Indirect Wetland Resources Impacts .....	12-3
12.2.4 Indirect Wildlife Resources Impacts.....	12-4
12.2.5 Indirect Air Quality Impacts .....	12-4
12.2.6 Indirect Noise Impacts .....	12-4
12.2.7 Record of Decision Commitments/Mitigation.....	12-4
12.3 Methodology .....	12-5
12.3.1 Scenario 1.....	12-5
12.3.2 Scenario 2.....	12-6
12.4 Impacts.....	12-12
12.4.1 Indirect Land Use Impacts .....	12-12
12.4.2 Indirect Water Resources Impacts .....	12-32
12.4.3 Indirect Wetland Resources Impacts .....	12-32
12.4.4 Indirect Wildlife Resources Impacts.....	12-32
12.4.5 Indirect Cultural Resources Impacts.....	12-32
12.4.6 Indirect Traffic Impacts .....	12-33

12.4.7 Indirect Air Quality Impacts .....	12-33
12.4.8 Indirect Noise Impacts .....	12-33
12.5 Mitigation.....	12-33
12.6 Conclusions.....	12-34
<b>CHAPTER 13 – CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....</b>	<b>13-1</b>
13.1 Introduction.....	13-1
13.2 Summary of 2004 FEIS Analysis and Record of Decision Commitments .....	13-1
13.2.1 Record of Decision Commitments/Mitigation.....	13-2
13.3 Methodology .....	13-3
13.3.1 Study Area Boundaries .....	13-3
13.3.2 Analysis Year.....	13-3
13.3.3 Resources for Analysis .....	13-3
13.3.4 Resource Condition and Trends.....	13-4
13.3.5 Impacts of Other Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions.....	13-4
13.3.6 Cumulative Impacts .....	13-5
13.4 Impacts.....	13-5
13.4.1 Water Resources .....	13-5
13.4.2 Wetlands .....	13-8
13.4.3 Wildlife Habitat .....	13-9
13.4.4 Farmlands.....	13-12
13.4.5 Cultural Resources .....	13-14
13.5 Mitigation.....	13-15
13.6 Conclusions.....	13-15
<b>CHAPTER 14 – REEVALUATIONS OF OTHER TOPICS .....</b>	<b>14-1</b>
14.1 Introduction.....	14-1
14.2 Visual Impacts .....	14-1
14.2.1 Summary of 2004 FEIS Analysis and Record of Decision Commitments....	14-1
14.2.2 Changes in Regulations/Guidelines .....	14-2
14.2.3 Changes in Existing Conditions.....	14-2
14.2.4 Changes in Future No Build and Build Conditions .....	14-2
14.2.5 Mitigation.....	14-2
14.2.6 Conclusion .....	14-2
14.3 Energy Impacts .....	14-2
14.3.1 Summary of 2004 FEIS Analysis and Record of Decision Commitments....	14-2
14.3.2 Changes in Regulations/Guidelines .....	14-3
14.3.3 Changes in Existing Conditions.....	14-3
14.3.4 Changes in Future No Build and Build Conditions .....	14-3
14.3.5 Mitigation.....	14-3
14.3.6 Conclusion .....	14-3
14.4 Construction Impacts .....	14-4
14.4.1 Summary of 2004 FEIS Analysis and Record of Decision Commitments....	14-4
14.4.2 Changes in Regulations/Guidelines .....	14-6
14.4.3 Changes in Future No Build and Build Conditions .....	14-6
14.4.4 Mitigation.....	14-6

14.4.5 Conclusion .....	14-6
14.5 Relationship Between Local Short-Term Uses of the Environment and the Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity .....	14-7
14.5.1 Summary of 2004 FEIS Analysis and Record of Decision Commitments ....	14-7
14.5.2 Changes in Regulations/Guidelines .....	14-8
14.5.3 Changes in Future No Build and Build Conditions .....	14-8
14.5.4 Conclusion .....	14-8
14.6 Irreversible and Irretrievable Resource Commitments .....	14-8
14.6.1 Summary of 2004 FEIS Analysis and Record of Decision Commitments ....	14-8
14.6.2 Changes in Regulations/Guidelines .....	14-9
14.6.3 Changes in Future No Build and Build Conditions .....	14-9
14.6.4 Conclusion .....	14-9
<b>CHAPTER 15 – DRAFT SECTION 4(f) EVALUATION .....</b>	<b>15-1</b>
15.1 Introduction.....	15-1
15.2 Proposed Action.....	15-3
15.3 Description of Historic Section 4(f) Resources .....	15-4
15.4 Impacts to Section 4(f) Properties.....	15-4
15.5 Alternatives Which Avoid Section 4(f) Property Impacts.....	15-4
15.5.1 No Build Alternative.....	15-5
15.5.2 Retention of Existing Culvert .....	15-5
15.5.3 New Pipe East of Existing Culvery .....	15-5
15.5.4 Realignment of Verani Way .....	15-6
15.5.5 Source Culvert Relocation .....	15-6
15.6 Measures to Minimize Harm/Mitigation .....	15-6
15.7 Coordination .....	15-7
15.8 Summary Statement.....	15-7
<b>CHAPTER 16 – PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND AGENCY COORDINATION.....</b>	<b>16-1</b>
16.1 Public Involvement .....	16-1
16.2 Agency Coordination.....	16-1
<b>CHAPTER 17 – DRAFT SEIS DISTRIBUTION LIST .....</b>	<b>17-1</b>
17.1 Federal Agencies.....	17-1
17.2 State Agencies.....	17-3
17.3 Federal and State Elected Officials.....	17-4
17.4 Regional Agencies and Municipalities .....	17-5
17.5 Private Organizations, Businesses and Individuals .....	17-9
<b>CHAPTER 18 – LIST OF PREPARERS .....</b>	<b>18-1</b>
18.1 New Hampshire Department of Transportation.....	18-1
18.2 Federal Highway Administration.....	18-1
18.3 The Louis Berger Group, Inc.....	18-1
<b>CHAPTER 19 – ACRONYMS .....</b>	<b>19-1</b>

**CHAPTER 20 – REFERENCES ..... 20-1**

**CHAPTER 21 – INDEX ..... 21-1**

**LIST OF TABLES**

Table 2-1 I-93 Average Daily Traffic ..... 2-3

Table 2-2 I-93 Level of Service ..... 2-3

Table 3-1 Current Cost Estimates ..... 3-6

Table 4-1 Summary of 2004 FEIS Mainline Traffic Analysis Results, No Build Compared to Build, 2020 ..... 4-4

Table 4-2 Summary of 2005 Traffic Sensitivity Analysis Results, Delphi PBAA Build Condition, 2020 ..... 4-7

Table 4-3 Base Year Average Daily Traffic (ADT) ..... 4-16

Table 4-4 I-93 Mainline DDHV LOS Summary, 2005 Base Year ..... 4-16

Table 4-5 Intersection LOS, 2005 Base Year ..... 4-17

Table 4-6 Scenario 1 Average Daily Traffic (ADT), 2020 ..... 4-18

Table 4-7 Scenario 1 Directional Design Hourly Volumes (DDHV), 2020 ..... 4-18

Table 4-8 Scenario 1 I-93 Mainline DDHV LOS Summary, 2020 ..... 4-19

Table 4-9 Scenario 1 Ramp Junction LOS Summary, 2020 ..... 4-20

Table 4-10 Scenario 1 Intersection LOS Summary, AM and PM Peak, 2020 ..... 4-21

Table 4-11 Scenario 1 VMT, VHT and Average Speed, 2020 ..... 4-22

Table 4-12 Scenario 2 Average Daily Traffic (ADT), 2020 and 2030 ..... 4-23

Table 4-13 Scenario 2 Directional Design Hourly Volumes (DDHV), 2020 and 2030 ..... 4-23

Table 4-14 Scenario 2 I-93 Mainline DDHV LOS Summary, 2020 and 2030 ..... 4-24

Table 4-15 Scenario 2 Ramp Junction LOS Summary, 2020 and 2030 ..... 4-25

Table 4-16 Scenario 2 Intersection LOS Summary, AM and PM Peak, 2020 ..... 4-26

Table 4-17 Scenario 2 Intersection LOS Summary, AM and PM Peak 2030 ..... 4-27

Table 4-18 Scenario 2 VMT, VHT and Average Speed, 2020 and 2030 ..... 4-28

Table 4-19 I-93 Mainline Average Daily Traffic and Level of Service, Comparison Between Scenario 1 and Scenario 2, 2020 ..... 4-29

Table 4-20 Scenario 2 Average Daily Traffic (ADT), Build with Toll Compared to Build without Toll, 2020 ..... 4-30

Table 4-21 Scenario 2 Average Daily Traffic (ADT), Build with Toll Compared to Build without Toll, 2030 ..... 4-30

Table 4-22 Scenario 2 Directional Design Hourly Volumes (DDHV), Build with Toll Compared to Build without Toll, 2020 ..... 4-30

Table 4-23 Scenario 2 Directional Design Hourly Volumes (DDHV), Build with Toll Compared to Build without Toll, 2030 ..... 4-31

Table 4-24 Scenario 2 I-93 Mainline DDHV LOS Summary, Build with Toll Compared to Build without Toll, 2020 and 2030 ..... 4-32

Table 5-1 2005 Existing Conditions Predicted Maximum 1-Hour and 8-Hour CO Concentrations (Parts Per Million) ..... 5-11



Table 5-2	Scenario 1 (2020 Delphi Panel Blended Average Allocation) Predicted Maximum 1-Hour and 8-Hour CO Concentrations (Parts Per Million) .....	5-12
Table 5-3	Scenario 1 Mobile Source Air Toxic Emissions .....	5-14
Table 5-4	Scenario 2, 2020 Predicted Maximum 1-Hour and 8-Hour CO Concentrations (Parts Per Million) .....	5-15
Table 5-5	Scenario 2, 2030 Predicted Maximum 1-Hour and 8-Hour CO Concentrations (Parts Per Million) .....	5-15
Table 5-6	Scenario 2 (2020 and 2030 Current State Projections) Mobile Source Air Toxic Emissions .....	5-17
Table 5-7	Tolling Sensitivity Analysis, 2020 Predicted Maximum 1-Hour and 8-Hour CO Concentrations (Parts Per Million) .....	5-18
Table 5-8	Tolling Sensitivity Analysis, 2030 Predicted Maximum 1-Hour and 8-Hour CO Concentrations (Parts Per Million) .....	5-18
Table 5-9	Tolling Sensitivity Analysis, Mobile Source Air Toxic Emissions, 2020 and 2030.....	5-19
Table 6-1	Examples of Common Sounds (A-weighted (dBA) Sound Level in Decibels) .....	6-2
Table 6-2	Noise Abatement Criteria (NAC) .....	6-3
Table 6-3	Comparison of I-93 Mainline Traffic Volumes Between the 2004 FEIS and SEIS Scenario 1 and Scenario 2, AM Peak Hour .....	6-6
Table 6-4	Comparison of I-93 Mainline Traffic Volumes Between the 2004 FEIS and SEIS Scenario 1 and Scenario 2, PM Peak Hour .....	6-6
Table 6-5	Summary of Final Design Noise Barrier Evaluations .....	6-9
Table 6-6	Secondary Road Noise Screening Analysis Scenario 1, 2020 .....	6-11
Table 6-7	Secondary Road Noise Screening Analysis Scenario 2, 2020 and 2030.....	6-12
Table 6-8	Secondary Road Noise Tolling Sensitivity Analysis Scenario 2, 2020 and 2030. ....	6-13
Table 8-1	Town of Salem Land Use Changes Since 2004 .....	8-5
Table 8-2	Town of Windham Land Use Changes Since 2004.....	8-6
Table 8-3	Town of Derry Land Use Changes Since 2004.....	8-6
Table 8-4	Town of Londonderry Land Use Changes Since 2004 .....	8-8
Table 8-5	Updated Zoning Districts in Salem .....	8-9
Table 8-6	Updated Zoning Districts in Windham.....	8-10
Table 9-1	Summary of Petroleum and Hazardous Material Site Status/Recommendations ...	9-5
Table 10-1	Updated 100-Year Floodplain Impacts .....	10-20
Table 12-1	Study Area Population, Build Compared to No Build, 2020 Scenario 1 .....	12-13
Table 12-2	Study Area Employment, Build Compared to No Build, 2020 Scenario 1 .....	12-14
Table 12-3	Study Area Land Conversion, Build Compared to No Build, 2005 to 2020 Scenario 1.....	12-16
Table 12-4	Statewide Model Estimated Change in Population Based on Accessibility Change, Build Compared to No Build, 2030 Scenario 2 .....	12-18
Table 12-5	Statewide Model Estimated Change in Employment Based on Accessibility Change, Build Compared to No Build, 2030 Scenario 2 .....	12-19

Table 12-6	Study Area Population, Build Compared to No Build, 2020 Scenario 2 .....	12-21
Table 12-7	Study Area Population, Build Compared to No Build, 2030 Scenario 2 .....	12-22
Table 12-8	Study Area Employment, Build Compared to No Build, 2020 Scenario 2 .....	12-23
Table 12-9	Study Area Employment, Build Compared to No Build, 2030 Scenario 2 .....	12-24
Table 12-10	Study Area Land Conversion, Build Compared to No Build, 2005 to 2020 Scenario 2.....	12-27
Table 12-11	Study Area Land Conversion, Build Compared to No Build, 2005 to 2030 Scenario 2.....	12-28
Table 12-12	Study Area Population, 2020 Scenario 1 Compared to Scenario 2.....	12-29
Table 12-13	Study Area Employment, 2020 Scenario 1 Compared to Scenario 2.....	12-30
Table 12-14	Residential Land Conversion, 2005 to 2020 Scenario 1 Compared to Scenario 2 .....	12-31
Table 13-1	Important Wildlife Habitat in New Hampshire Portion of Study Area.....	13-10
Table 13-2	Land in Farms, 1987- 2002 .....	13-12

**LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 4-1a	Exit 1 and Exit 2 Analyzed Intersections
Figure 4-1b	Exit 3 Analyzed Intersections
Figure 4-1c	Exit 4 Analyzed Intersections
Figure 4-1d	Exit 5 Analyzed Intersections
Figure 4-1e	Secondary Road Analyzed Intersections
Figure 4-2	Scenario 1 Temporal Distribution of No Build and Build Average Daily Traffic in August, I-93 Northbound Between State Line and Exit 1, 2020
Figure 4-3	Scenario 2 Temporal Distribution of No Build and Build Average Daily Traffic in August, I-93 Northbound Between State Line and Exit 1, 2020
Figure 4-4	Scenario 2 Temporal Distribution of No Build and Build Average Daily Traffic in August, I-93 Northbound Between State Line and Exit 1, 2030
Figure 5-1	Microscale Air Quality Analysis Sites
Figure 5-2	Ozone Nonattainment Areas
Figure 5-3	Manchester CO Sensitivity Analysis, 2017 and 2026
Figure 5-4	Nashua CO Sensitivity Analysis, 2017 and 2026
Figure 5-5	Southern Serious (Boston-Lawrence-Worcester NH) Nonattainment Area VOC Sensitivity Analysis, 1017 and 2026
Figure 5-6	Southern Serious (Boston-Lawrence-Worcester NH) Nonattainment Area NOx Sensitivity Analysis, 1017 and 2026
Figure 8-1	Salem Land Use and Zoning Changes
Figure 8-2	Windham Land Use and Zoning Changes
Figure 8-3	Derry and Londonderry Land Use and Zoning Changes
Figure 10-1	Potential Floodplain Mitigation Sites in Salem
Figure 12-1	Percent Change in 2030 Population Based on Accessibility Change, No Build to

Build

Figure 12-2 Percent Change in 2030 Employment Based on Accessibility Change, No Build to Build

Figure 13-1 Major Watersheds and Waterbodies

Figure 13-2 Impervious Surface Cover, USGS 2001

Figure 13-3 Wetlands

Figure 13-4 Important Wildlife Habitat

Figure 13-5 Agricultural Land Cover, USGS 2001

Figure 15-1 M&L Right-of-Way Map

Figure 15-2 Stone Box Culvert Location Map

Figure 15-3 Existing Conditions

Figure 15-4 Proposed Work

## APPENDICES

Appendix A: Traffic Written Reevaluation/Technical Report

- Appendix A-1: 2005 Traffic Sensitivity Analysis
- Appendix A-2: New Hampshire Statewide Model Update Documentation
- Appendix A-3: FHWA Memorandum Re: Consideration of Exit 4A in the NH I-93 SEIS
- Appendix A-4: I-93 Transit Investment Study Ridership Memo

Appendix B: Air Quality Written Reevaluation/Technical Report

- Appendix B-1: Regional Emissions Sensitivity Analysis

Appendix C: Noise Written Reevaluation/Technical Report

Appendix D: Socioeconomics Written Reevaluation/Technical Report

Appendix E: Land Use Written Reevaluation/Technical Report

Appendix F: Natural and Biological Resources Written Reevaluation/Technical Report

Appendix G: Indirect Effects Written Reevaluation/Technical Report

- Appendix G-1: Exit 4A Revised Employment Estimates Memo

Appendix H: Cumulative Impacts Written Reevaluation/Technical Report

Appendix I: Historic Resources Effects Memo Update